

DAFTAR REFERENSI

- Abbing, J. (2013). The Effect of Strength Training on. *Strength And Conditioning*, 25(9), 396–403.
- Adam, H. M. (2023). *Pengaruh gaya kepemimpinan kharismatik terhadap kinerja karyawan dengan motivasi sebagai variabel intervening pada El-Zawa UIN Maulana Malik Ibrahim* <http://etheses.uin-malang.ac.id/id/eprint/53541%0Ahttp://etheses.uin-malang.ac.id/53541/1/19510191.pdf>
- Adnan, Fitra, H., Arifin, H., & Aiyub. (2023). *Kepemimpinan Strategis dan Kompetensi* (R. A. Rusdian (ed.); 1st ed.). PT RajaGrafindo Persada. <https://www.rajagrafindo.co.id/produk/kepemimpinan-strategis-dan-kompetensi-dr-adnan-s-e-m-si-prof-dr-ir-herman-fithra-st-mt-i-p-m-asean-eng-prof-a-hadi-arifin-s-e-m-si-dan-aiyub-s-e-m-ec-ph-d/>
- Al-Rahmi, W. M., Alias, N., Othman, M. S., Marin, V. I., & Tur, G. (2018). A model of factors affecting learning performance through the use of social media in Malaysian higher education. *Computers and Education*, 121, 59–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.02.010>
- Alqurashi, E. (2019). Predicting student satisfaction and perceived learning within online learning environments. *Distance Education*, 40(1), 133–148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2018.1553562>
- Angeli, C., Valanides, N., & Bonk, C. J. (2003). the Quality of Computer-Mediated Interactions. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 34(1), 31–44.
- Archambault, J., Burch, T., Crofton, M., & McClure, A. (2008). *The Effects of Developing Kinematics Concepts Graphically Prior to Introducing Algebraic Problem Solving Techniques*.
- Armstrong, M., & Baron, A. (2005). *Managing performance : performance management in action*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:106935275>
- Balwant, P. T. (2016). TRANSFORMATIONAL INSTRUCTOR-LEADERSHIP IN HIGHER EDUCATION TEACHING : A META- ANALYTIC REVIEW AND RESEARCH AGENDA. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 9(4), 20–30. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jls>
- Balwant, P. T., Birdi, K., Stephan, U., & Topakas, A. (2018). Transformational instructor-leadership and academic performance: a moderated mediation model of student engagement and structural distance. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 43(7), 884–900. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2017.1420149>

- Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. In *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Banks, G. C., Engemann, K. N., Williams, C. E., Gooty, J., McCauley, K. D., & Medaugh, M. R. (2017). A meta-analytic review and future research agenda of charismatic leadership. *Leadership Quarterly*, 28(4), 508–529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2016.12.003>
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations. In *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* (Vol. 51, Issue 6).
- Baş, G., Kubiato, M., & Sünbül, A. M. (2016). Teachers' perceptions towards ICTs in teaching-learning process: Scale validity and reliability study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 61(October), 176–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.03.022>
- Bedenlier, S., Zawacki-Richter, O., Kerres, M., Bond, M., & Buntins, K. (2020). Systematic Reviews in Educational Research: Methodology, Perspectives and Application. In *Systematic Reviews in Educational Research: Methodology, Perspectives and Application*. Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-27602-7>
- Bligh, M., Epitropaki, O., Jaser, Z., Riggio, R. E., & Uhl-Bien, M. (2018). What About Followership Development? Improving Lives by Teaching Followership. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 2018(1), 12482. <https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2018.12482symposium>
- Bolliger, D. U., & Martin, F. (2018). Instructor and student perceptions of online student engagement strategies. *Distance Education*, 39(4), 568–583. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2018.1520041>
- Burroughs, N. F. (2007). A reinvestigation of the relationship of teacher nonverbal immediacy and student compliance-resistance with learning. *Communication Education*, 56(4), 453–475. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03634520701530896>
- Chan, S. C. H., & Ko, S. (2019). Personal response systems and learning performance: The mediating role of learners' engagement. *Journal of Education for Business*, 94(4), 234–242. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08832323.2018.1520684>
- Chen, Lambert, A. D., & Guidry, K. R. (2010). Engaging online learners: The impact of Web-based learning technology on college student engagement. *Computers and Education*, 54(4), 1222–1232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.11.008>
- Chin, M. (1998). The Partial Least Squares Approach to Structural Formula

- Modeling. *Advances in Hospitality and Leisure*, 8 (2) (January 1998), 5.
- Conger, & Kanungo, R. N. (1987). Toward a Behavioral Theory of Charismatic Leadership. *Academy of Management Review*, 12(4), 637–647.
- Conger, & Kanungo, R. N. (1994). Charismatic leadership in organizations: Perceived behavioral attributes and their measurement. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 15(5), 439–452.
- Conger, Kanungo, R. N., & Menon, S. T. (2000). Charismatic leadership and follower effects. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 21(7), 747–767. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1379\(200011\)21:7<747::AID-JOB46>3.0.CO;2-J](https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1379(200011)21:7<747::AID-JOB46>3.0.CO;2-J)
- Cooper, L., Madernach, J. B., & Laster-Loftus, A. (2019). *Efficient Online Instruction : Maximum Impact in Minimal Time Running head : EFFICIENT ONLINE INSTRUCTION Efficient Online Instruction : Maximum Impact in Minimal Time Lori J . Cooper , Amanda Laster-Loftus , & B . Jean Mandernach. September*, 1–33.
- Dexter, S. L., Anderson, R. E., & Becker, H. J. (1999). Teachers' Views of computers as catalysts for changes in their teaching practice. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 31(3), 221–239. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08886504.1999.10782252>
- Dixson, M. D. (2015). Measuring student engagement in the online course: the Online Student Engagement scale (OSE).(Section II: Faculty Attitudes and Student Engagement)(Report). *Online Learning Journal (OLJ)*, 19(4), 143.
- Duryee .A, L. (2020). REFLECTIVE PRACTICE: CONSIDERING THE DIFFERENCES IN TEACHER IMMEDIACY WHEN A K-12 TEACHER TRANSITIONS TO ONLINE HIGHER EDUCATION. *The Journal of Scholarly Engagement*, 3(2), 1–10.
- Eid, M. I. M., & Al-Jabri, I. M. (2016). Social networking, knowledge sharing, and student learning: The case of university students. *Computers and Education*, 99, 14–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.04.007>
- Eman, G., Hernández, A., & Romá, V. G. (2023). Charismatic leadership, intra-team communication quality, and team performance: The role of average leadership perceptions and their homogeneity. *European Management Journal*, April. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2023.04.011>
- Ertmer, P. A. (1999). Addressing first- and second-order barriers to change: Strategies for technology integration. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47(4), 47–61. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02299597>
- Ertmer, P. A., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., Sadik, O., Sendurur, E., & Sendurur, P. (2012). Teacher beliefs and technology integration practices: A critical relationship. *Computers and Education*, 59(2), 423–435.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.02.001>

- Faliza, N., B, A. M., Setiawan, R., Agustina, W., Lhokseumawe, U. M., Sengkang, I. L., Sunan, U. I. N., Yogyakarta, K., Maulana, U. I. N., & Ibrahim, M. (2024). *Reslaj : Religion Education Social Laa Roiba Journal The Effect of Leadership Effectiveness and Islamic Work Motivation on Organization Performance with Islamic Work Ethics as a Moderating Variable Reslaj : Religion Education Social Laa Roiba Journal*. 6, 409–425. <https://doi.org/10.47476/reslaj.v6i1.4016>
- Farmer, H. M., & Ramsdale, J. (2016). Teaching Competencies for the Online Environment | Enseigner les compétences pour l'environnement en ligne. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology / La Revue Canadienne de l'apprentissage et de La Technologie*, 42(3). <https://doi.org/10.21432/t2v32j>
- Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School Engagement Potential of The Concept. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59–109. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.3102/00346543074001059>
- Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P., Friedel, J., & Paris, A. (2005). School Engagement. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Educational Assessment and Policy (ICEAP 2020)*, 545. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210423.080>
- Ghozali, I. (2014). *Structural Equation Modeling, Metode Alternatif dengan Partial Least Square (PLS)* (4th ed.). Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Ghozali, I. (2018). *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan Program IBM SPSS 25*. Universitas Diponegoro.
- Goodboy, A. K., & Bolkan, S. (2009). College teacher misbehaviors: Direct and indirect effects on student communication behavior and traditional learning Outcomes. *Western Journal of Communication*, 73(2), 204–219. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10570310902856089>
- Gora, W., & Sunarto. (2010). *Strategi Pembelajaran Inovatif Berbasis TIK*. PT Elex Media Komputindo.
- Gordon B., D. (1999). *Kerangka Dasar Sistem Informasi Manajemen*. PT.Pustaka Binaman Pressindo.
- Gustafsson, J.-E., Sörlin, S., & Vlachos, J. (2016). *Policyidéer för svensk skola*.
- Hair, J F, Anderson, R. E., Babin, B. J., & Black, W. C. (2019). Multivariate Data Analysis, Multivariate Data Analysis. In *Book* (Vol. 87, Issue 4). www.cengage.com/highered
- Hair, Joseph F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2021). *An Introduction to Structural Equation Modeling*.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80519-7_1

- Hammond, H., Coplan, M., & Madernach, B. J. (2018). Administrative Considerations Impacting the Quality of Online Teaching. *Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, 21(4), 1–18.
- Hamre, B. K., Pianta, R. C., Downer, J. T., DeCoster, J., Mashburn, A. J., Jones, S. M., Brown, J. L., Cappella, E., Atkins, M., Rivers, S. E., Brackett, M. A., & Hamagami, A. (2013). Teaching through Interactions. *The Elementary School Journal*, 113(4), 461–487. <https://doi.org/10.1086/669616>
- Hanum, N. A., Fitriyah, A., & Sumarsono, R. B. (2019). Pandangan Konsep Kepemimpinan Kharismatik. *Seminar Nasional, Fakultas Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Negeri Malang*, 163–170. <http://ap.fip.um.ac.id/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Nur-Amaliyah-Hanum.pdf>
- Hart, S. R., Stewart, K., & Jimerson, S. R. (2011). The Student Engagement in Schools Questionnaire (SESQ) and the Teacher Engagement Report Form-New (TERF-N): Examining the Preliminary Evidence. *Contemporary School Psychology*, 15(1), 67–79. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03340964>
- Hazzam, J., & Wilkins, S. (2023). The influences of lecturer charismatic leadership and technology use on student online engagement, learning performance, and satisfaction. *Computers and Education*, 200(July 2022), 104809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104809>
- Henrie, C. R., Halverson, L. R., & Graham, C. R. (2015). Measuring student engagement in technology-mediated learning: A review. In *Computers and Education* (Vol. 90, Issue December). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.09.005>
- Henseler, J., Dijkstra, T. K., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., Diamantopoulos, A., Straub, D. W., Ketchen, D. J., Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., & Calantone, R. J. (2014). Common Beliefs and Reality About PLS: Comments on Rönkkö and Evermann (2013). *Organizational Research Methods*, 17(2), 182–209. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428114526928>
- Hosen, M., Ogbeibu, S., Giridharan, B., Cham, T. H., Lim, W. M., & Paul, J. (2021). Individual motivation and social media influence on student knowledge sharing and learning performance: Evidence from an emerging economy. *Computers and Education*, 172(June), 104262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104262>
- House, R. J. (1976). A 1976 Theory of Charismatic Leadership. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 1933, 259–260. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED133827>
- House, R. J. (1996). House_Path-goal theory of leadership: Lessons, Legacy, and AReformulated Theory pdf. *Leadership Quarterly*, 7(3), 323–352.
- House, R. J., & Howell, J. M. (1992). Personality and charismatic leadership. *The*

- Leadership Quarterly*, 3(2), 81–108. [https://doi.org/10.1016/1048-9843\(92\)90028-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/1048-9843(92)90028-E)
- Hughes, Bradford, J., & Likens, C. (2018). Facilitating Collaboration, Communication, and Critical Thinking Skills in Physical Therapy Education through Technology-Enhanced Instruction: A Case Study. *TechTrends*, 62(3), 296–302. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-018-0259-8>
- Hughes, K. (2015). Impact of student Engagement on Achievement and Well-Being A Literature Review Prepared for the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board By. *ResearchGate*, August, 1–39. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.2536.3684>
- Ito, A., Harrison, J., Bligh, M., & Roland-Levy, C. (2020). A follower-centric perspective on charismatic leadership: An integrative review and agenda for future research A follower-centric perspective on charismatic leadership: An integrative review and agenda for future research. *Routledge International Handbook of Charisma*, November, 324–336. <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03086811>
- Jones, B. D., & Carter, D. (2019). Relationships between students' course perceptions, engagement, and learning. *Social Psychology of Education*, 22(4), 819–839. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-019-09500-x>
- Junco, R., Loken, E., & Heiberger, G. (2010). Putting twitter to the test: Assessing outcomes for student collaboration, engagement and success. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 44(2), 273–287. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2012.01284.x>
- Kahu, E. R. (2013). Framing student engagement in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*, 38(5), 758–773. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2011.598505>
- Kearney, P., Plax, T. G., & Burroughs, N. F. (1991). An attributional analysis of college students' resistance decisions. *Communication Education*, 40(4), 325–342. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03634529109378858>
- Kena, G., Aud, S., Johnson, F., Wang, X., Zhang, J., Rathbun, A., Wilkinson-Flicker, S., & Kristapovich, P. (2014). The Condition for Education 2014. In T. Nachazel & A. Dziuba (Eds.), *Institute of Education Sciences*. U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. <http://nces.ed.gov>.
- Kent, C., Laslo, E., & Rafaeli, S. (2016). Interactivity in online discussions and learning outcomes. *Computers and Education*, 97, 116–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.03.002>
- Kim, Y. K., & Lundberg, C. A. (2016). A Structural Model of the Relationship Between Student–Faculty Interaction and Cognitive Skills Development Among College Students. *Research in Higher Education*, 57(3), 288–309.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-015-9387-6>

- Kreitner, R.], & Kinicki, A. (2005). *Organizational Behavior* (5th ed.). Salemba Empat.
https://books.google.co.id/books/about/Organizational_Behavior.html?hl=id&id=gosRlwpz8osC&redir_esc=y
- Kucuk, S., & Richardson, J. C. (2019). A structural equation model of predictors of online learners' engagement and satisfaction. *Online Learning Journal*, 23(2), 196–216. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v23i2.1455>
- Kuh, G. (2008). High-impact educational practices: What they are, who has access to them, and why they matter. *Association of American Colleges and Universities*, 14(3), 44.
<https://go.gale.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA311500181&sid=googleScholar&v=2.1&it=r&linkaccess=abs&issn=15411389&p=AONE&sw=w&userGroupName=anon~516f2ea8&aty=open-web-entry>
- Kuh, G. (2009). What student affairs professionals need to know about student engagement. *Journal of College Student Development*, 50(6), 683–706. <https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.0.0099>
- Kulikovskikh, I. M., Prokhorov, S. A., & Suchkova, S. A. (2017). Promoting collaborative learning through regulation of guessing in clickers. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 75, 81–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.05.001>
- Lai, C., & Jin, T. (2021). Teacher professional identity and the nature of technology integration. *Computers and Education*, 175(April), 104314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104314>
- Law, J., Levickis, P., Rodríguez-Ortiz, I. R., Matic, A., Lyons, R., Messarra, C., Kouba Hreich, E., & Stankova, M. (2019). Working with the parents and families of children with developmental language disorders: An international perspective. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 82(July). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomdis.2019.105922>
- Le Blanc, P. M., González-Romá, V., & Wang, H. (2021). Charismatic Leadership and Work Team Innovative Behavior: the Role of Team Task Interdependence and Team Potency. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 36(2), 333–346. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-019-09663-6>
- Lee, V. E., & Smith, J. B. (1993). Effects of School Restructuring on the Achievement and Engagement of Middle-grade Students. *Sociology of Education*, 66(3), 164. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2112735>
- Majchrzak, A., Faraj, S., Kane, G. C., & Azad, B. (2013). The contradictory influence of social media affordances on online communal knowledge sharing. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 19(1), 38–55. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcc4.12030>

- Mangkunegara, A. P. (2017). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia*. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Mannan, M. R. al-A. (2011). *Fiqih perempuan pro kontra kepemimpinan perempuan dalam wacana Islam klasik dan kontemporer*. Pustaka Ilmu. https://books.google.com/books/about/Fiqih_perempuan_pro_kontra_kepimpinan.html?hl=id&id=SOWepwAACAAJ
- Manwaring, K. C., Larsen, R., Graham, C. R., Henrie, C. R., & Halverson, L. R. (2017). Investigating student engagement in blended learning settings using experience sampling and structural equation modeling. *Internet and Higher Education*, 35(May 2018), 21–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2017.06.002>
- Martin, F., Wang, C., & Sadaf, A. (2018). Student perception of helpfulness of facilitation strategies that enhance instructor presence, connectedness, engagement and learning in online courses. *Internet and Higher Education*, 37(March 2017), 52–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2018.01.003>
- Miner, J. B. (2015, March 16). *Organizational Behavior: Essential theories of motivation and leadership. one - John B. Miner - Google Buku*. Routledge. https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=kUO5NWwaySYC&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&ots=UI3BBhOmDK&sig=At_ytWzGl2ctBSgHIAsozsgJ-EE&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false
- Muhardi. (2017). Kontribusi Pendidikan Dalam Meningkatkan Kualitas Bangsa Indonesia. *Jurnal Sosial Dan Pembangunan*, XX(4), 478–492.
- Nazuk, A., Khan, F., Munir, J., Anwar, S., Masooma Raza, S., & Cheema, U. A. (2015). Use of Digital Storytelling as a Teaching Tool at National University of Science and Technology. *Eric*, 37(1), 1–26. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1210352>
- Nudin, B., Hutapea, B., Yofa Zebua, R. ., Purba, S., Suparmi, Ramayanti, P. N., Faliza, N., Setyo Wibowo, F. I., Safii, M., Fitroh, S. F., & Saddia, A. (2023). MANAJEMEN SUMBER DAYA MANUSIA DI BIDANG PENDIDIKAN. In A. Yanto (Ed.), *NBER Working Papers* (1st ed.). PT GLOBAL EKSEKUTIF TEKNOLOGI Anggota IKAPI No. 033/SBA/2022. www.globaleksekutifteknologi.co.id
- Owston, R., York, D., & Murtha, S. (2013). Student perceptions and achievement in a university blended learning strategic initiative. *Internet and Higher Education*, 18, 38–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2012.12.003>
- Ozlati, S. (2012). Motivation, trust, leadership, and technology: Predictors of knowledge sharing behavior in the workplace. *CGU Heses & Dissertations. Paper 56.*, 3518945, 155-n/a. <https://doi.org/10.5642/cguetd/56>
- Paulsel, M. L., & Chory-Assad, R. M. (2005). Perceptions of instructor interactional justice as a predictor of student resistance. *Communication*

Research Reports, 22(4), 283–291.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/000368105000317565>

- Paulsen, J., & McCormick, A. C. (2020). Reassessing Disparities in Online Learner Student Engagement in Higher Education. *Educational Researcher*, 49(1), 20–29. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X19898690>
- Pianta, R. C., Hamre, B. K., & Allen, J. P. (2012). Handbook of Research on Student Engagement. *Handbook of Research on Student Engagement*, 17, 1–840. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7>
- Pianta, R., Downer, J., & Hamre, B. (2016). Quality in early education classrooms: Definitions, gaps, and systems. *Future of Children*, 26(2), 119–138. <https://doi.org/10.1353/foc.2016.0015>
- Plax, T. G., McCroskey, J. C., & Kearney, P. (1987). Power in the classroom VII: Linking behavior alteration techniques to cognitive learning. *Communication Education*, 36(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03634528709378636>
- Priestley, M., Biesta, G. J. J., & Robinson, S. (2015). *Teacher Agency: An Ecological Approach*. London: Bloomsbury Academic. Bloomsbury Academic.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/272079851_Priestley_M_Biesta_G_JJ_Robinson_S_2015_in_press_Teacher_Agency_An_Ecological_Approach_London_Bloomsbury_Academic
- Priestley, M., Edwards, R., Priestley, A., & Miller, K. (2012). Teacher Agency in Curriculum Making: Agents of Change and Spaces for Manoeuvre. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 42, 191–214. <https://doi.org/10.2307/23253807>
- Pye, G., Holt, D., Salzman, S., Bellucci, E., & Lombardi, L. (2015). Engaging diverse student audiences in contemporary blended learning environments in Australian higher business education: Implications for design and practice. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*, 19(November), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3127/ajis.v19i0.1251>
- Queen, C. (2022). Applying Charismatic Leadership to Support Learner Engagement in Virtual Environments: Teaching and Learning in a Time of Crisis. *Pedagogy in Health Promotion*, 9(3), 158–160. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23733799221107613>
- Rajabalee, B. Y., Santally, M. I., & Rennie, F. (2019). A study of the relationship between students' engagement and their academic performances in an eLearning environment. *E-Learning and Digital Media*, 17(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2042753019882567>
- Redmond, P., Abawi, L. A., Brown, A., Henderson, R., & Heffernan, A. (2018). An online engagement framework for higher education. *Online Learning Journal*, 22(1), 183–204. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v22i1.1175>

- Reina, V. R., Buffel, T., Kindekens, A., De Backer, F., Peeters, J., & Lombaerts, K. (2014). Enhancing Engagement through a Community School Approach as the Key to Increase Academic Achievement. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *116*, 2078–2084. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.01.523>
- Richardson, J. C., Besser, E., Koehler, A., Lim, J. E., & Strait, M. (2016). Instructors' perceptions of instructor presence in online learning environments. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, *17*(4), 82–104. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v17i4.2330>
- Russell, M., Bebell, D., Dwyer, L. O., Connor, K. O., Dwyer, L. O., & Connor, K. O. (2003). *Journal of Teacher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487103255985>
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2020). Research Methods for Business: A Skill-Building Approach. In *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* (8th ed.). John Wiley & Sons Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1108/lodj-06-2013-0079>
- Shah, R. K., & Barkas, L. A. (2018). Analysing the impact of e-learning technology on students' engagement, attendance and performance. *Research in Learning Technology*, *26*(1063519).
- Shaskin, M., & Shaskin, M. G. (2011). *No Title*. Erlangga. <https://inlislite.uin-suska.ac.id/opac/detail-opac?id=3083>
- Sparks, G. A. (2014). Charismatic leadership: Findings of an exploratory investigation of the techniques of influence. *Behavioral Studies in Business*, *7*, 1–11. <http://www.aabri.com/manuscripts/141964.pdf>
- Stowell, J. R., & Nelson, J. M. (2007). Benefits of Electronic Audience Response Systems on Student Participation, Learning, and Emotion. *Teaching of Psychology*, *34*(4), 253–258. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00986280701700391>
- Sugiyono. (2019). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. (1st ed.). Alfabeta. <http://epustaka.umma.ac.id:8123/lib/opac/detail-opac?id=6348>
- Sun, J. C. Y., & Rueda, R. (2012). Situational interest, computer self-efficacy and self-regulation: Their impact on student engagement in distance education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *43*(2), 191–204. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2010.01157.x>
- Supriyanto, A. Y., & Maharani, V. (2019). *Riset manajemen SDM : Untuk skripsi, tesis, disertasi, dan dilengkapi dengan contoh artikel jurnal / Achmad Sani Supriyanto, Vivin Maharani Ekowati* (1st ed.). Inteligencia Media. <https://inlislite.uin-suska.ac.id/opac/detail-opac?id=29290>
- Tang, K. N. (2020). Challenges and importance of teaching english as a medium of instruction in thailand international college. *Journal of English as an International Language*, *15*(2), 97–118.

- Tlhoale, M., Hofman, A., Naidoo, A., & Winnips, K. (2014). Using clickers to facilitate interactive engagement activities in a lecture room for improved performance by students. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 51(5), 497–509. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2013.796725>
- Toro-Troconis, M., Alexander, J., & Frutos-Perez, M. (2019). Assessing student engagement in online programmes: Using learning design and learning analytics. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 8(6), 171–183. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v8n6p171>
- Trentin, G. (2008). Technology Enhanced Learning and University Teaching : Different TEL and university teaching : different approaches for different purposes. *International Journal on E-Learning*, 7(March 2008), 26. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.17471/2499-4324/382>
- Trowler, V. (2010). Student Engagement Literature Review. The Higher Education Academic. Lancaster University : Department of Educational Research. *The Higher Education Academy*, January, 78.
- Truta, C., Parv, L., & Topala, I. (2018). Academic engagement and intention to drop out: Levers for sustainability in higher education. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(12), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124637>
- Tualalelei, E., Burke, K., Fanshawe, M., & Cameron, C. (2022). Mapping pedagogical touchpoints: Exploring online student engagement and course design. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 23(3), 189–203. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787421990847>
- Vähäsantanen, K., Räikkönen, E., Paloniemi, S., Hökkä, P., & Eteläpelto, A. (2019). A Novel Instrument to Measure the Multidimensional Structure of Professional Agency. *Vocations and Learning*, 12(2), 267–295. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12186-018-9210-6>
- Waltemeyer, S., & Cranmore, J. (2018). Screencasting Technology to Increase Engagement in Online Higher Education Courses. *ELearn*, 2018(12). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3302261.3236693>
- Wibowo. (2017). *Manajemen Kinerja Edisi 5* (5th ed.). Rajagrafindo Persada.
- Young, S., & Nichols, H. (2017). A reflexive evaluation of technology-enhanced learning. *Research in Learning Technology*, 25. <https://doi.org/10.25304/rlt.v25.1998>
- Yukl, G. (2015). *Leadership in Organization* (7th ed.). Jakarta Indeks. <https://onsearch.id/Record/IOS13457.INLIS0000000000002655?widget=1#description>